SUITABILITY OF PAPER FOR PUBLICATION FORM

(Review form)

II. PAPER EVALUATION (obligated in accordance with the Instructions to Authors, mark with "X"):

| Criteria | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| Title fully reflects the content of the paper | | |
| Topic of the paper falls within the scope of the journal | | |
| Topic is current and relevant | | |
| The paper contains all parts in line with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| Abstract is designed in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| Extended summary in English is conceptualized in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| Key words reflect the content of the paper | | |
| Introduction is designed in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| The author relies on the relevant general research conducted to date in the field of work in question | | |
| Appropriate methodology has been applied | | |
| Methodology has been precisely defined | | |
| The author compares relevant research conducted to date in the selected area with his/her own research | | |
| The interpretation of research results is valid | | |
| Conclusion is designed in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| The paper provides new and original contribution | | |
| The paper's style of writing and language are clear | | |
| The paper uses tables, charts and figures in an appropriate and clear manner | | |
| The length of paper is in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |
| References have been cited in accordance with the Instructions to Authors | | |

- 1 -



Pan-European University Apeiron, Faculty of business economics "Economy and Market Communication Review – Časopis za ekonomiju i tržišne komunikacije"

| Explanation (only if the answer is "NO"): | | |
|--|------------|--------|
| | | |
| Final evaluation | | |
| Mark: | (Excellent | Poor) |
| | | |
| Explanation of the final evaluation (if reviewer sees it necessary): | 5 4 3 2 | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| III. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: | | |
| Confidential comments for the Editorial Board: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Comments submitted to the author: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

- 2 -

Pan-European University Apeiron, Faculty of business economics "Economy and Market Communication Review – Časopis za ekonomiju i tržišne komunikacije"

IV. REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLICATION OF THE PAPER:

| RECOMMENDATION (put the selected recommendation in bold) | | |
|--|--|--|
| Accepted | | |
| Accepted after undertaking revision as per comments | | |
| Not accepted | | |

V. CLASSIFICATION OF PAPER:

| CLASS (put the selected recommendation in bold) | | |
|---|--|--|
| Original scientific paper** | | |
| Preliminary report*** | | |
| Review**** | | |
| Professional paper**** | | |

VI. REVIEWER'S DATA:

| Name | |
|--|--|
| Title | |
| Area, field and branch of science | |
| Institution where the reviewer works | |
| Reviewer's reference article - the article should relate to the topic (area) of the paper that is the subject of the review (author/s, title, name of the journal, | |
| publisher, issue of the journal and link) | |
| Address | |
| Telephone | |
| E-mail | |
| Bank account | |
| Personal identification number | |
| Date of receipt of paper for peer review | |
| Date of sending the Form to the Editorial Board | |

- 3 -

^{**} paper which contains the results of an original scientific research unpublished to date;

 $[\]hbox{**** paper which contains the new results of a scientific research useful to be published promptly;}$

^{****} paper which contains an original concise and critical overview of an area and provides a contribution given the papers published to date;

^{*****} paper which contains useful contributions from and for the profession but does not necessarily represent an original research.



Pan-European University Apeiron, Faculty of business economics "Economy and Market Communication Review – Časopis za ekonomiju i tržišne komunikacije"

| Signature | |
|-----------|--|
| | |

4 -